

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/541,690	DUVAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.

(2) Mr. James Ruland.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 20 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*see continuation sheet*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Informed the attorney of record the following:

1. Claim 75 defines Q and depends from claim 60 but in claim 60 Q has been deleted.
  2. Verification that the structural formula shown at page 6 of the amendment to the claims is formula III referred to in claim 65.
  3. Claims 67 and 68 do not end in a period.
  4. Define the term modified and amend the terms "any organic polymers" in claim 80.
- The attorney of record verified that the structural formula shown at page 6 of the amendments to the claims is formula III and authorized an Examiner's amendment for claims 67, 68, 75 and 80.